



# Hornsea Project Four

## Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and Historic England

**Deadline: 1, Date: 08 March 2022**

**Document Reference: G1.14**

**Revision: 01**

**Prepared** Ørsted, February 2022  
**Checked** Thomas Watts, Orsted, March 2022  
**Accepted** David King, Orsted, March 2022  
**Approved** Julian Carolan, Orsted, March 2022

G1.14  
Version A

## Revision History

Date	Version	Reason for issue
20/08/2020	i	Initial draft for Historic England Review
25/09/2020	ii	Updated draft issued to Historic England after SoCG meeting. Submitted again on 10/12/2021 to Historic England for comment.
18/02/2022	iii	Updated following receipt of Historic England's comments on 25/01/2022 and issued alongside draft onshore Relevant Representation responses.
08/03/2022	01	Submitted at Deadline 1 awaiting positions from Historic England

## Signatories

<b>Signed</b>	[Insert signature]
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Position</b>	
<b>For</b>	Historic England

<b>Signed</b>	[Insert signature]
<b>Name</b>	
<b>Position</b>	
<b>For</b>	Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited

## Table of Contents

1	Introduction .....	5
1.1	Reason for this document.....	5
1.2	Approach to SoCG.....	5
1.3	Application elements under Historic England’s remit.....	6
1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four .....	6
2	Agreement Logs .....	6
2.1	Overview .....	6
3	Onshore Historic Environment .....	7
3.1	Summary of consultation with Historic England.....	7
3.2	Onshore Agreement Log.....	9
4	Marine Archaeology .....	11
4.1	Summary of consultation with Historic England.....	11
4.2	Offshore Agreement Log.....	13

## List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England.....	7
Table 2: Agreement Log: Onshore Historic Environment.....	9
Table 3: Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England.....	11
Table 4: Agreement Log: Marine Archaeology. ....	13

## Glossary

Term	Definition
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea Four.
Likely Significant Effect	Terminology used within the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in relation to what is required to be described within Environmental Statements.
Orsted Hornsea Project Four Ltd	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Development Consent Order (DCO).

## Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
DMLs	Deemed Marine Licences
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
LSE	Likely Significant Effect
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PINS	The Planning Inspectorate
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and Historic England to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers the topics of the Onshore Historic Environment ([Section 3](#)) and Marine Archaeology ([Section 4](#)). The Onshore Historic Environment comprises each relevant component of the application landward of Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and Marine Archaeology comprises each relevant component of the application seaward of MHWS.
- 1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and Historic England is set out within the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) on 24 January 2022.
- 1.1.1.4 Following detailed discussions undertaken through the Evidence Plan Process, the Applicant and Historic England have sought to progress a SoCG. It is the intention that this document will provide PINS with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and Historic England and the SoCG will be updated as discussions progress prior to and during the project examination.

### 1.2 Approach to SoCG

- 1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the application for development consent. The Impacts Register ([Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register \(APP-049\)](#)) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and DCO). In line with the Applicant's approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) are included within the individual topic assessments of the Environmental Statement (ES).
- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
- [Section 1](#): Introduction;
  - [Section 3](#): Onshore Historic Environment;
    - [Section 3.1](#): Summary of consultation with Historic England;
    - [Section 3.2](#): Agreement Log;
  - [Section 4](#): Marine Archaeology;
    - [Section 4.1](#): Summary of consultation with Historic England;
    - [Section 4.2](#): Agreement Log.

## 1.3 Application elements under Historic England’s remit

1.3.1.1 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of Historic England are Work Numbers 1 to 10, covering both onshore and offshore works. These are detailed in Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO ([Volume C1.1: Draft DCO](#)).

## 1.4 Overview of Hornsea Four

1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 65 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:

- **Hornsea Four array area:** This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
- **Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent offshore electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the High Voltage Alternating Current (HVAC) booster station (if required), will be located;
- **Hornsea Four intertidal area:** This is the area between MHWS and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export cables will be installed;
- **Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
- **Hornsea Four onshore substation including energy balancing infrastructure:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.

## 2 Agreement Logs

### 2.1 Overview

2.1.1.1 The following sections of this SoCG set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in [paragraph 1.3.1.1](#)).

2.1.1.2 In order to easily identify whether a matter is ‘agreed’, ‘not agreed’ or an ‘ongoing point of discussion’, the colour coding system set out in [Table 1](#) below is used within the ‘position’ column of the following sections of this document.

**Table 1: Position Status Key.**

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
<b>Agreed</b> The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties	Agreed
<b>Not Agreed – no material impact</b> The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or Historic England is not considered	Not Agreed – no material impact

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions.	
<b>Not Agreed – material impact</b> The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or Historic England is considered to result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions.	Not Agreed – material impact
<b>Ongoing point of discussion</b> The matter is neither ‘agreed’ nor ‘not agreed’ and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties (e.g where documents are yet to be shared with Historic England).	Ongoing point of discussion

## 3 Onshore Historic Environment

### 3.1 Summary of consultation with Historic England

3.1.1.1 **Table 2** below summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with Historic England during the pre-application phase for each relevant component of the application (as identified in [paragraph 1.3.1.1](#)) landward of MHWS.

**Table 2: Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England.**

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
11/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	<b>Hornsea Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #1</b>  Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme, evidence plan process and the proportionate approach to EIA. An overview of historic environment work undertaken to date was provided, including scoping and approach to baseline. Assessment methodology, route planning and site selection was also discussed.
15/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	<b>Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report</b>
12/11/2018	Consultation response	Statutory	<b>Scoping Opinion – Consultation Response from Historic England</b>  Providing comments on the Scoping Report.
16/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	<b>Hornsea Four - Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2</b>  Meeting to provide Hornsea Four update, recap of the EIA scoping report and approach to EIA proportionality. Route planning and site selection was discussed in relation to historic environment assets. Scoping opinions received were discussed, and necessary next steps, including survey and assessment work. Confirmation of the approach to assessment in respect of temporary logistics compounds,

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			onshore substation ZTVs, and non-designated assets. Discussion regarding the WWII defences within the landfall search area in addition to effects on below-ground assets from changes to drainage patterns.
17/04/2019 to 17/06/2019	Email correspondence	Non statutory	Due to Historic England's absence during the third historic Environment Technical Panel, emails were exchanged regarding the position paper and slide deck. Discussions included PEIR assessment scope and survey land access.
06/06/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four – Project Update Meeting</b></p> <p>The purpose of this meeting was to discuss how to improve engagement between Historic England and Hornsea Four, provide further detail regarding the archaeological programme of works, including timings, and how proportionality is being applied in practical terms.</p>
13/08/2019	Consultation	Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four PEIR</b></p> <p>Published for statutory Section 42 consultation.</p>
20/09/2019	Consultation response	Statutory	<p><b>Historic England letter response to PEIR</b></p> <p>Providing comments on the PEIR.</p>
14/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four Historic Environment Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #4</b></p> <p>Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update and updates on ongoing baseline surveys. Section 42 comments received were discussed (including the provision of necessary further information or evidence, and /or the Applicant's proposed response). Consensus was sought on the proposed approach to ES (impacts to be covered in detail in the ES chapter) and what additional evidence or information is required.</p>
11/02/2020	Email correspondence	Non statutory	Email correspondence regarding the Minutes of Evidence Plan Technical meeting #4, and methodology for the approach to assessment of 'indirect impacts'.
13/03/2020 to 27/04/2020	Draft Document	Non Statutory	<p><b>Draft Outline Onshore Written Scheme of investigation</b></p> <p>Draft document provided for review and comment. Subsequent email correspondence from Historic England regarding comments and responses. A memo was prepared by the Applicant to respond to comments raised by Historic England on the draft WSI.</p>
25/11/2020	Draft Documents	Non Statutory	<p>Issue of draft documents for review and comment::</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A3.5: Historic Environment ES Chapter;</li> <li>• A6.5.1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (A and B);</li> </ul>



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A6.5.2: Aerial Photo and Lidar Report (A, B and C)</li> <li>A6.5.3: Priority Archaeological Geophysical Report;</li> <li>A6.5.4: Geoarchaeological DBA Report;</li> <li>F2.10 Outline Onshore Written Scheme of Investigation (accounting for comments previously provided); and</li> <li>Hornsea Four Impacts and Effects Register Historic Environment tab.</li> </ul>
08/12/2020	Review Comments	Non Statutory	Historic England's review comments on draft documents issued on 25/11/2020.
26/05/2021 To 17/08/2021	Position Paper	Non Statutory	Notification of delayed DCO submission and issue of Baseline Validity Position paper, setting out approach to baseline for Historic Environment. Agreements obtained from Historic England on approach on 17/08/2021.

## 3.2 Onshore Agreement Log

3.2.1.1 **Table 3** below sets out the level of agreement between the parties for the Onshore Historic Environment, for each relevant component of the application (as identified in **paragraph 1.3.1.1**) landward of MHWS. In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or an 'ongoing point of discussion', a colour coding system of green, red and orange is used respectively within the 'position' column.

**Table 3: Agreement Log: Onshore Historic Environment.**

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
<i>EIA – Policy and planning</i>			
G1.14: 1.1	<b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> has identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the assessment.	Ongoing point of discussion	Historic England consider that this is a matter that can only be determined by the Examination Authority.
<i>EIA – Baseline Environment</i>			
G1.14: 1.2	The ES provides sufficient information to characterise the areas within which Hornsea Four will be located relevant to Historic Environment in Section 5.7, <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> and accompanying technical annexes, to inform the EIA.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
<i>EIA – Assessment Methodology</i>			
G1.14: 1.3	The study areas identified in Section 5.5 of <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> , are appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.4	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each impact in Section 5.9 of <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> , and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.1:</b>	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	<b>Impacts Register (APP-049)</b> , represent the maximum project parameters for assessment.		
G1.14: 1.5	The potential impacts identified in Table 5.6 and Section 5.11 of <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> , and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)</b> , represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.6	The methodologies used in Section 5.10 of <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.

### *EIA – Assessment Conclusions*

G1.14: 1.7	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent correspondence with Historic England) for impacts HE-D-7, HE-D-8, HE-D-9 and HE-D-10 (all impacts during decommissioning) resulted in these potential impacts being 'Scoped out' or 'not considered in detail' in the PEIR and ES. This is appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.8	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for impacts HE-C-2, HE-C-4, HE-O-5 and HE-O-6 (all 'indirect' impacts) resulted in these potential impacts being 'not considered in detail in the ES' and are instead considered further in <b>Volume A6, Annex 5.1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (APP-116 and APP-117)</b> . This is appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.9	The assessment of potential effects on Historic Environment in <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> is appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely significant effects from Hornsea Four.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.10	The conclusions of the CEA on Historic Environment presented in Section 5.12 and inter-related effects in Section 5.14 of <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> , are appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.

### *Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring*

G1.14: 1.11	The measures described in <b>F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-245)</b> are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant effects identified in <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> .	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.12	Requirement 16 of the draft DCO ( <b>C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)</b> ) is sufficient to secure the mitigation measures described in <b>F2.10: Outline Written Scheme of Investigation for Onshore Archaeology (APP-245)</b> .	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.13	In addition to the onshore WSI (APP-245), the measures set out in <b>F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice</b>	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	(APP-237) are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant effects identified in <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> and in the 'Historic Environment' tab of <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register (APP-049)</b> . This document will form the basis of the detailed CoCP secured under Requirement 17 of the draft DCO ( <b>C1.1: Draft DCO (APP-203)</b> ).		position.
G1.14: 1.14	The design of the OnSS, as presented in <b>F2.13: Outline Design Plan (APP-248)</b> is considered appropriate and reflects good quality design standards for the onshore aboveground infrastructure.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 1.15	The measures set out in <b>F2.8: Outline Landscape Management Plan (APP-243)</b> and <b>F2.13: Outline Design Pan (APP-248)</b> are appropriate and adequately mitigate effects identified in <b>Volume A3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-029)</b> and <b>Volume A6, Annex 5.1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment (APP-116 and APP-117)</b> , where possible.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.

## 4 Marine Archaeology

### 4.1 Summary of consultation with Historic England

4.1.1.1 **Table 4** below summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with the Historic England Marine Planning Unit responsible for the offshore zone during the pre-application phase for each relevant component of the application (as identified in **paragraph 1.3.1.1**) seaward of MHWS.

**Table 4: Summary of pre-application consultation with Historic England.**

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
08/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	<b>Hornsea Four Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report</b> Submitted to Historic England for consultation.
12/11/2018	Consultation	Statutory	<b>Scoping Opinion</b> Response from Historic England to Hornsea Four Scoping Report.
18/12/2018	Meeting	Non-Statutory	<b>Hornsea Four – Marine Archaeology Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #1</b> Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme, route planning and site selection and provide an overview of the Evidence Plan process. The meeting aimed to explain the proportionate approach that is being adopted for Hornsea Four and review responses received from Historic England during the Scoping process.

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
06/06/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four – Project Update Meeting</b></p> <p>The purpose of this meeting was to discuss how to improve engagement between Historic England and Hornsea Four, provide further detail regarding the archaeological programme of works, including timings, and how proportionality is being applied in practical terms.</p>
20/09/2019	Consultation	Statutory	<p><b>Section 42 consultation response</b></p> <p>Historic England provided comment on the relevant PEIR documents and draft DCO/Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs).</p>
13/11/2019	Meeting	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four – Marine Archaeology Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #2</b></p> <p>Meeting to discuss Historic England’s Section 42 response and provide an update on the project, in particular changes made to the route position and site selection since the PEIR submission.</p>
12/02/2020	Meeting	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Historic England Workshop</b></p> <p>Workshop held between the Applicant and Historic England to illustrate the key interactions between site investigations and marine archaeology. Topics included the ground model, archaeological interactions with geotechnical surveys and archaeological interpretation of geophysical surveys.</p>
25/03/2020	Email to Historic England	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four Method statement for geoarchaeological work</b></p> <p>Shared method statement for geotechnical core collection, retention, storage, and stage 1 and 2 geoarchaeological assessment with Historic England ahead of planned Geotechnical 1A survey campaign.</p>
08/04/2020	Consultation	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Hornsea Four – Updated Outline Marine Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI)</b></p> <p>Updated Outline WSI, following PEIR submission and receipt of Section 42 responses, shared with Historic England for review.</p>
15/04/2020	Letter via email from Historic England	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Historic England response to Hornsea Four method statement for geoarchaeological work</b></p> <p>Historic England reviewed and provided comment on the collection, retention, and stage 1 and 2 analysis method statement.</p>
05/05/2020	Letter via email from Historic England	Non-Statutory	<p><b>Historic England response to updated Outline Marine WSI</b></p> <p>Historic England reviewed and provided comment on the Outline WSI, which had been updated following the PEIR submission and receipt of Historic England’s Section 42 response.</p>

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
12/05/2020	Meeting	Non-Statutory	<b>Hornsea Four – Marine Archaeology Evidence Plan Technical Panel meeting #3</b> Meeting following Historic England’s review of the Outline Marine WSI, to discuss how the Outline WSI will be updated to address Historic England’s suggested changes.
29/01/2021	Consultation on draft DCO documents	Non-Statutory	Historic England confirmed that they were aware of the approach adopted by Hornsea Four, but that any formal comments will be subject to the appropriate baseline assessment and the securement of mitigation measures within a draft Commitments Register.
July 2019 – DCO Application submission	Regular offshore update meetings	Non-Statutory	Regular catch-up meetings to keep Historic England updated on how Hornsea Four is progressing, including ongoing surveys, marine archaeology deliverables and timescales for submission.

## 4.2 Offshore Agreement Log

4.2.1.1 **Table 5** below sets out the level of agreement between the parties for Marine Archaeology, for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1) seaward of MHWS. In order to easily identify whether a matter is ‘agreed’, ‘not agreed’ or an ‘ongoing point of discussion’, a colour coding system of green, red and orange is used respectively within the ‘position’ column.

**Table 5: Agreement Log: Marine Archaeology.**

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
<i>EIA – Policy and Planning</i>			
G1.14: 2.1	<b>Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology</b> has identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the assessment.	Ongoing Discussion	Historic England consider that this is a matter that can only be determined by the Examination Authority.
<i>Proportionate Approach</i>			
G1.14: 2.2	Historic England have no objection to Hornsea Four’s proportionate approach to the EIA, subject to the appropriate securement through the DCO and DMLs of the commitments and mitigation measures that support any "scoped out" impacts (see Co46, Co140, Co166, Co167, Co181, and Co201 <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register</b> ).	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 2.3	The Hornsea Four DCO Application considers Likely Significant Effects (LSE), utilising terminology used within the Town and Country	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	<p>Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in relation to what is required to be described within Environmental Statements. Historic England note that in heritage terms, the key factor is the “impact on the significance of heritage assets”, not necessarily “significant effect”. It is agreed that these differences are not considered to have a material impact to the assessment conclusions.</p>		
<i>Scoping Assessment</i>			
<p>G1.14: 2.4</p>	<p>The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping for impacts MA-C-1, MA-C-2, MA-C-3, MA-C-6, MA-D-10 resulted in these potential impacts being ‘Scoped out’ of further assessment in the PEIR and ES. Based on evidence from adjacent projects, embedded mitigation is effective in reducing magnitude of impact to ‘none’ or ‘negligible’. Full coverage high-resolution geophysical survey will enable micro-siting of the project design to ensure significant impacts do not occur. This is appropriate.</p>	<p>Ongoing point of discussion</p>	<p>Awaiting Historic England input on position.</p>
<i>Commitments</i>			
<p>G1.14: 2.5</p>	<p>Historic England agree with the wording and appropriateness of commitments (Co46, Co140, Co166, Co167, Co181, and Co201) that relate to marine archaeology and the mechanisms within which they are secured within <b>Volume C1, Chapter 1: Draft DCO including Draft DML</b> (see <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register</b>).</p>	<p>Ongoing point of discussion</p>	<p>Awaiting Historic England input on position.</p>
<i>Impacts and Effects Register</i>			
<p>G1.14: 2.6</p>	<p>The potential impacts identified in Table 9.8 and 9.10 of <b>Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology</b>, and in the ‘Marine Archaeology’ tab of <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register</b>, represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts.</p>	<p>Ongoing point of discussion</p>	<p>Awaiting Historic England input on position.</p>
<p>G1.14: 2.7</p>	<p>Historic England agree with the wording of impacts MA-O-7, MA-O-8 and MA-D-9 set out in in Table 9.10 of <b>Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology</b>, and in the ‘Marine Archaeology’ tab of <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register</b>.</p>	<p>Ongoing point of discussion</p>	<p>Awaiting Historic England input on position.</p>
<p>G1.14: 2.8</p>	<p>The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined, where relevant, for each impact in Table 9.10 of <b>Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology</b>, and in the ‘Marine Archaeology’ tab of <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register</b>, represent the maximum project parameters for</p>	<p>Ongoing point of discussion</p>	<p>Awaiting Historic England input on position.</p>

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	assessment.		
<i>EIA – Assessment Methodology</i>			
G1.14: 2.9	The methodologies used in Section 9.10 of <b>Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology</b> are appropriate for assessing potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 2.10	The study areas identified in Section 9.5 of <b>Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology</b> , are appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
<i>EIA – Baseline Environment</i>			
G1.14: 2.11	The baseline environment has been accurately characterised. Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to define the archaeological potential of the study area and further surveys will be undertaken, as secured by commitments 166 and 167 (see <b>Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register</b> ).	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
<i>EIA – Assessment Conclusions</i>			
G1.14: 2.12	The conclusions of the assessment of impacts for construction, operation and decommissioning presented are agreed and consistent with the agreed assessment methodologies.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 2.13	The conclusions of the assessment of cumulative impacts are agreed.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
<i>Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring</i>			
G1.14: 2.14	The Outline Marine WSI is secured under Part 2, Condition 13(2) and 13(3) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12. Historic England agree the wording of these conditions are appropriate and adequate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 2.15	The wording of the following requirements and conditions pertaining to marine archaeology are appropriate and adequate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Design Plan;</li> <li>Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(c) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Construction Method Statement;</li> <li>Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(h) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Cable Specification and Installation Plan; and</li> <li>Part 1(6) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a decommissioning plan.</li> </ul>	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14: 2.16	Historic England agree that the Hornsea Four Outline Marine WSI ( <b>F2.4</b> ) provides appropriate mechanisms to ensure effective archaeological work is supported through a phased approach.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.
G1.14:	The Applicants approach to the archaeological	Ongoing point of	Awaiting Historic England input

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
2.17	watching brief is appropriate.	discussion	on position.
G1.14: 2.18	The timescales for submission of the Method Statement to the Archaeological Curator and wider reporting are appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion	Awaiting Historic England input on position.